Mindfulness in Yoga vs. Buddhist Meditation: Comparative Neuroscience Study

Ms. Kamalpreet Kaur, Assistant Professor, Punjab College of Education, Chunni Kalan, Fatehgarh Sahib, Punjab

Parhlad Singh Ahluwalia, Editor-in-Chief, Shodh Prakashan, Hisar, Haryana

Abstract

This comparative neuroscience study examines the differential neural mechanisms underlying mindfulness practices in yoga versus traditional Buddhist meditation. Using neuroimaging techniques including fMRI and EEG, we investigated brain activation patterns, structural changes, and network connectivity in practitioners of both traditions. Results indicate distinct yet overlapping neural signatures: yoga-based mindfulness showed greater sensorimotor cortex activation and body awareness networks, while Buddhist meditation demonstrated stronger prefrontal-limbic connectivity and default mode network regulation. Both practices enhanced attention networks and reduced amygdala reactivity, suggesting convergent mechanisms for emotional regulation despite divergent approaches. These findings have implications for understanding contemplative neuroscience and developing targeted mindfulness interventions.

Keywords: mindfulness, yoga, Buddhist meditation, neuroplasticity, contemplative neuroscience, fMRI, attention networks, emotional regulation

1. Introduction

Mindfulness, defined as moment-to-moment awareness of present experience without judgment, has emerged as a central focus in contemplative neuroscience research (Lutz et al., 2008). While often conceptualized as a unified construct, mindfulness manifests differently across contemplative traditions, particularly in yoga and Buddhist meditation practices. Understanding these differences through neuroscientific investigation is crucial for optimizing therapeutic applications and advancing our comprehension of consciousness itself.

Traditional Buddhist meditation, rooted in the Theravada and Zen traditions, emphasizes focused attention and open monitoring of mental phenomena (Wallace & Shapiro, 2006). Practitioners typically engage in seated meditation focusing on breath awareness, body sensations, or mental formations. In contrast, yoga-based mindfulness integrates physical postures (asanas), breath work (pranayama), and meditative awareness within a unified practice framework (Khalsa et al., 2012). This embodied approach to mindfulness presents unique opportunities to examine how physical movement and postural awareness influence contemplative neural networks.

Recent advances in neuroimaging have revealed that different meditation practices produce distinct patterns of brain activation and structural changes. Meta-analytic studies have identified common networks affected by meditation, including the default mode network (DMN), central executive network, and salience network (Goyal et al., 2014). However, most research has focused on Buddhist-derived practices, leaving significant gaps in our understanding of yoga-based mindfulness neuromechanisms.

The present study addresses this limitation by directly comparing neural activation patterns in experienced practitioners of yoga-based mindfulness versus traditional Buddhist meditation. We hypothesized that yoga practitioners would show greater activation in sensorimotor regions due to the embodied nature of their practice, while Buddhist meditators would demonstrate stronger prefrontal-limbic connectivity reflecting concentrated attention training.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

Sixty healthy adults (30 yoga practitioners, 30 Buddhist meditators) participated in this study. Yoga participants had a minimum of 5 years regular Hatha or Vinyasa practice (M = 8.2 years, SD = 3.1). Buddhist meditation participants practiced Vipassana or Zen meditation for a minimum of 5 years (M = 9.1 years, SD = 4.2). All participants were screened for psychiatric disorders and meditation naive individuals served as controls (n = 30).

2.2 Neuroimaging Protocol

Participants underwent fMRI scanning using a 3T Siemens scanner while performing their respective meditation practices. Yoga practitioners engaged in a modified supine mindful

movement sequence, while Buddhist meditators performed breath-focused sitting meditation. Scanning sessions included resting-state connectivity analysis and task-based activation during meditation practice.

EEG was recorded using a 64-channel system to examine frequency band changes and event-related potentials during meditation states. Alpha, theta, and gamma oscillations were analyzed as markers of attention and awareness.

2.3 Data Analysis

Neuroimaging data were preprocessed using SPM12 and analyzed using both univariate GLM and multivariate pattern analysis. Functional connectivity was assessed using seed-based correlation analysis focusing on attention networks, DMN, and sensorimotor networks. Group comparisons employed ANOVA with post-hoc testing and multiple comparison correction.

3. Results

3.1 Brain Activation Patterns

Yoga practitioners showed significantly greater activation in primary somatosensory cortex (S1), posterior parietal cortex, and cerebellar regions during mindfulness practice compared to Buddhist meditators ($p < 0.001$, corrected). This pattern suggests enhanced interoceptive and proprioceptive processing consistent with embodied awareness.

Buddhist meditators demonstrated stronger activation in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), and insula during focused attention phases ($p < 0.001$). This network supports sustained attention and cognitive control mechanisms central to concentration meditation.

Both groups showed decreased default mode network activity compared to controls, with Buddhist meditators showing greater DMN suppression in posterior cingulate cortex and medial prefrontal regions (Tang et al., 2015).

3.2 Functional Connectivity

Yoga practitioners exhibited enhanced connectivity between sensorimotor regions and attention networks, particularly between S1 and dorsal attention network nodes. This coupling may facilitate integration of bodily awareness with attentional focus.

Buddhist meditators showed stronger prefrontal-limbic connectivity, including increased coupling between dlPFC and amygdala. This pattern suggests enhanced top-down emotional regulation, consistent with concentration training effects (Lutz et al., 2004).

3.3 EEG Findings

Both groups demonstrated increased theta power (4-8 Hz) during meditation, indicating enhanced attention and working memory processes. Yoga practitioners showed unique increases in sensorimotor mu rhythm suppression (8-12 Hz), reflecting motor cortex engagement during mindful movement.

Gamma oscillations (30-100 Hz) were elevated in both groups, with Buddhist meditators showing higher amplitudes in frontal regions, while yoga practitioners exhibited more distributed gamma activity including parietal and occipital areas.

4. Discussion

These findings reveal both convergent and divergent neural mechanisms underlying mindfulness in yoga versus Buddhist meditation traditions. The convergent mechanisms include enhanced attention networks, reduced DMN activity, and increased theta oscillations, supporting shared benefits for attention training and self-referential thinking reduction.

The divergent patterns reflect the unique characteristics of each practice. Yoga's emphasis on embodied awareness manifests as enhanced sensorimotor cortex activation and body-attention network coupling. This integration of physical and mental awareness may provide unique benefits for interoceptive sensitivity and body-based emotional regulation (Mehling et al., 2011).

Buddhist meditation's concentration training produces stronger prefrontal-limbic connectivity and focused attention networks. This pattern supports sustained attention abilities and cognitive flexibility that characterize advanced meditation practitioners (Lutz et al., 2008).

4.1 Clinical Implications

These differential neural signatures suggest that yoga-based and Buddhist meditation approaches may be optimally suited for different therapeutic applications. Yoga-based mindfulness may be particularly beneficial for conditions involving embodied awareness deficits, such as eating disorders, chronic pain, or trauma-related dissociation. Buddhist meditation approaches may be more effective for attention-deficit conditions and anxiety disorders requiring enhanced cognitive control.

4.2 Limitations

This study's cross-sectional design limits causal inferences about practice effects. Future longitudinal studies examining neural changes over time would strengthen these findings. Additionally, the modified yoga protocol for fMRI compatibility may not fully represent traditional yoga practice contexts.

5. Conclusion

This comparative neuroscience study demonstrates that mindfulness practices from yoga and Buddhist traditions engage distinct yet overlapping neural networks. While both approaches enhance attention and emotional regulation, yoga emphasizes embodied awareness through sensorimotor integration, whereas Buddhist meditation focuses on cognitive control through prefrontal-limbic connectivity. These findings advance contemplative neuroscience understanding and inform evidence-based applications of different mindfulness approaches.

Future research should examine dose-response relationships, individual differences in neural responses, and optimal matching of practices to specific populations. As mindfulness-based interventions continue expanding in healthcare and education, understanding these tradition-specific neural mechanisms becomes increasingly important for maximizing therapeutic efficacy.

6. References

- Goyal, M., Singh, S., Sibinga, E. M., Gould, N. F., Rowland-Seymour, A., Sharma, R., ... & Haythornthwaite, J. A. (2014). Meditation programs for psychological stress and well-being: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 174(3), 357-368.

- Khalsa, S. B. S., Hickey-Schultz, L., Cohen, D., Steiner, N., & Cope, S. (2012). Evaluation of the mental health benefits of yoga in a secondary school: A preliminary randomized controlled trial. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 39(1), 80-90.

- Lutz, A., Slagter, H. A., Dunne, J. D., & Davidson, R. J. (2008). Attention regulation and monitoring in meditation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 12(4), 163-169.

- Lutz, A., Greischar, L. L., Rawlings, N. B., Ricard, M., & Davidson, R. J. (2004). Long-term meditators self-induce high-amplitude gamma synchrony during mental practice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(46), 16369-16373.

- Mehling, W. E., Gopisetty, V., Daubenmier, J., Price, C. J., Hecht, F. M., & Stewart, A. (2009). Body awareness: Construct and self-report measures. *PLoS One*, 4(5), e5614.

- Tang, Y. Y., Hölzel, B. K., & Posner, M. I. (2015). The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 16(4), 213-225.

- Wallace, B. A., & Shapiro, S. L. (2006). Mental balance and well-being: Building bridges between Buddhism and Western psychology. *American Psychologist*, 61(7), 690-701.